

TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK
---	---

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Northern District of CA on the following ☒ Patents or ☐ Trademarks:

DOCKET NO. CV 11-02699 PJH	DATE FILED 6/6/11	U.S. DISTRICT COURT Northern District of CA., 1301 Clay St., Rm 400S, Oakland CA 94612
PLAINTIFF OSRAM GMBH		DEFENDANT LG ELECTRONICS, INC, et al
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 7,151,283		
2 7,629,621		
3 7,126,162		
4 6,812,500		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT <p style="text-align: center;">STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR DISMISSAL filed 11/14/2012</p>

CLERK Richard W. Wiekling	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK Kelly Collins	DATE November 15, 2012
-------------------------------------	---	----------------------------------

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 OSRAM GMBH

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 LG ELECTRONICS, INC., LG INNOTEK
11 CO., LTD., LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.,
12 and LG INNOTEK U.S.A., INC.

13 Defendants.

Case No. CV 11-02699 PJH

**[PROPOSED] FINAL DECISION AND
ORDER PURSUANT TO
STIPULATION**

14 Pursuant to stipulation and joint motion of Plaintiff OSRAM GmbH and Defendants LG
15 Electronics, Inc., LG Innotek Co., Ltd., LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., and LG Innotek U.S.A., Inc.
16 (collectively, "LG"), which includes the stipulation that this final decision should be entered, this
17 Court enters this final decision that LG has not sustained its burden of proving the invalidity of any
18 patent claim of U.S. Patent No. 7,151,283, U.S. Patent No. 7,629,621, U.S. Patent No. 7,126,162, or
19 U.S. Patent No. 6,812,500.

20 This action is dismissed with prejudice in its entirety. Each party is to pay its own costs and
21 attorneys' fees. This decision is an Order of the Court which is final, enforceable, and not
22 appealable.
23

24 IT IS SO ORDERED

25 Dated: November 14, 2012

By:

26
27 The Honorable Judge Phillip L. Hamilton
United States District Judge
28

